

# Involutions by Descents/Ascents and Symmetric Integral Matrices

Richard A. Brualdi

University of Wisconsin-Madison

**Joint work with Shi-Mei Ma: European J. Combins. (to appear)**

Alan Hoffman Fest - my hero

Rutgers University

19-20 September 2014

1 Some Background

2 Involutions

# RSK-correspondence

$\mathfrak{S}_n$ : the set of all permutations of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

$\mathfrak{Y}_n$ : the set of **standard Young tableaux** on  $n$  cells: a Ferrers diagram containing each of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  increasing along rows from left to right and along columns from top to bottom. For example, with  $n = 8$ ,

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 4 & 5 & 7 \\ 2 & 6 & 8 & \\ 3 & & & \end{array} \quad (\text{of shape } 4, 3, 1).$$

The RSK-correspondence (an algorithm) provides a bijection between  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  and pairs of standard Young tableaux of the same shape.

$\sigma \xrightarrow{\text{RSK}} (P, Q)$  ( $P$  is the insertion tableau and  $Q$  is the recording tableau).

**Fact:**  $\sigma \xrightarrow{\text{RSK}} (P, Q) \implies \sigma^{-1} \xrightarrow{\text{RSK}} (Q, P)$

# RSK-correspondence

$\mathfrak{S}_n$ : the set of all permutations of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

$\mathfrak{Y}_n$ : the set of **standard Young tableaux** on  $n$  cells: a Ferrers diagram containing each of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  increasing along rows from left to right and along columns from top to bottom. For example, with  $n = 8$ ,

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 4 & 5 & 7 \\ 2 & 6 & 8 & \\ 3 & & & \end{array} \quad (\text{of shape } 4, 3, 1).$$

The RSK-correspondence (an algorithm) provides a bijection between  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  and pairs of standard Young tableaux of the same shape.

$\sigma \xrightarrow{\text{RSK}} (P, Q)$  ( $P$  is the insertion tableau and  $Q$  is the recording tableau).

**Fact:**  $\sigma \xrightarrow{\text{RSK}} (P, Q) \implies \sigma^{-1} \xrightarrow{\text{RSK}} (Q, P)$

# RSK-correspondence

$\mathfrak{S}_n$ : the set of all permutations of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

$\mathfrak{Y}_n$ : the set of **standard Young tableaux** on  $n$  cells: a Ferrers diagram containing each of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  increasing along rows from left to right and along columns from top to bottom. For example. with  $n = 8$ ,

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 4 & 5 & 7 \\ 2 & 6 & 8 & \\ 3 & & & \end{array} \quad (\text{of shape } 4, 3, 1).$$

The RSK-correspondence (an algorithm) provides a bijection between  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  and pairs of standard Young tableaux of the same shape.

$\sigma \xrightarrow{\text{RSK}} (P, Q)$  ( $P$  is the insertion tableau and  $Q$  is the recording tableau).

**Fact:**  $\sigma \xrightarrow{\text{RSK}} (P, Q) \implies \sigma^{-1} \xrightarrow{\text{RSK}} (Q, P)$

# Involutions

**Fact:**  $\sigma \xrightarrow{\text{RSK}} (P, Q) \implies \sigma^{-1} \xrightarrow{\text{RSK}} (Q, P)$

$\mathfrak{I}_n$ : the set of **involutions** in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , that is, permutations  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  such that  $\sigma^{-1} = \sigma$ .

Thus in the RSK-correspondence,  $Q = P$ , and hence

$$\sigma \xrightarrow{\text{RSK}} (P, P) \longrightarrow P$$

is a bijection between the set  $\mathfrak{I}_n$  of involutions of order  $n$  and the set  $\mathfrak{Y}_n$  of standard Young tableaux on  $n$  cells.

**Result of Schutzenberger (1977)** : The number of fixed points of an involution  $\sigma$  of order  $n$  equals the number of columns of the corresponding standard Young tableau  $P$  with odd length.

# Involutions

**Fact:**  $\sigma \xrightarrow{\text{RSK}} (P, Q) \implies \sigma^{-1} \xrightarrow{\text{RSK}} (Q, P)$

$\mathfrak{I}_n$ : the set of **involutions** in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , that is, permutations  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  such that  $\sigma^{-1} = \sigma$ .

Thus in the RSK-correspondence,  $Q = P$ , and hence

$$\sigma \xrightarrow{\text{RSK}} (P, P) \longrightarrow P$$

is a bijection between the set  $\mathfrak{I}_n$  of involutions of order  $n$  and the set  $\mathfrak{Y}_n$  of standard Young tableaux on  $n$  cells.

**Result of Schutzenberger (1977)** : The number of fixed points of an involution  $\sigma$  of order  $n$  equals the number of columns of the corresponding standard Young tableau  $P$  with odd length.

# Involutions

**Fact:**  $\sigma \xrightarrow{\text{RSK}} (P, Q) \implies \sigma^{-1} \xrightarrow{\text{RSK}} (Q, P)$

$\mathfrak{I}_n$ : the set of **involutions** in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , that is, permutations  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  such that  $\sigma^{-1} = \sigma$ .

Thus in the RSK-correspondence,  $Q = P$ , and hence

$$\sigma \xrightarrow{\text{RSK}} (P, P) \longrightarrow P$$

is a bijection between the set  $\mathfrak{I}_n$  of involutions of order  $n$  and the set  $\mathfrak{Y}_n$  of standard Young tableaux on  $n$  cells.

**Result of Schutzenberger (1977)** : The number of fixed points of an involution  $\sigma$  of order  $n$  equals the number of columns of the corresponding standard Young tableau  $P$  with odd length.



# Involutions

**Fact:**  $\sigma \xrightarrow{\text{RSK}} (P, Q) \implies \sigma^{-1} \xrightarrow{\text{RSK}} (Q, P)$

$\mathfrak{I}_n$ : the set of **involutions** in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , that is, permutations  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  such that  $\sigma^{-1} = \sigma$ .

Thus in the RSK-correspondence,  $Q = P$ , and hence

$$\sigma \xrightarrow{\text{RSK}} (P, P) \longrightarrow P$$

is a bijection between the set  $\mathfrak{I}_n$  of involutions of order  $n$  and the set  $\mathfrak{Y}_n$  of standard Young tableaux on  $n$  cells.

**Result of Schutzenberger (1977) :** The number of fixed points of an involution  $\sigma$  of order  $n$  equals the number of columns of the corresponding standard Young tableau  $P$  with odd length.

# Generating polynomial of Involutions by descents

**descent of a permutation**  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ : a position  $i$  such that  $\pi(i) > \pi(i+1)$ .  $\text{des}(\pi)$  is the **number of descents** of  $\pi$ .

Generating polynomial of the set of involutions  $\mathfrak{I}_n$  by descents:

$$I_n(t) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{I}_n} t^{\text{des}(\pi)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} I(n, k) t^k,$$

where  $I(n, k)$  is the number of involutions of order  $n$  with  $k$  descents. The first few  $I_n(t)$  are (see the on-line encycl. of integer sequences):

$$I_1(t) = 1,$$

$$I_2(t) = 1 + t,$$

$$I_3(t) = 1 + 2t + t^2,$$

$$I_4(t) = 1 + 4t + 4t^2 + t^3,$$

$$I_5(t) = 1 + 6t + 12t^2 + 6t^3 + t^4.$$

# Generating polynomial of Involutions by descents

**descent of a permutation**  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ : a position  $i$  such that  $\pi(i) > \pi(i+1)$ .  $\text{des}(\pi)$  is the **number of descents** of  $\pi$ .

**Generating polynomial of the set of involutions  $\mathfrak{I}_n$  by descents:**

$$I_n(t) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{I}_n} t^{\text{des}(\pi)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} I(n, k) t^k,$$

where  $I(n, k)$  is the number of involutions of order  $n$  with  $k$  descents. The first few  $I_n(t)$  are (see the on-line encycl. of integer sequences):

$$I_1(t) = 1,$$

$$I_2(t) = 1 + t,$$

$$I_3(t) = 1 + 2t + t^2,$$

$$I_4(t) = 1 + 4t + 4t^2 + t^3,$$

$$I_5(t) = 1 + 6t + 12t^2 + 6t^3 + t^4.$$

Known Properties of  $I_n(t)$ , that is, of the sequence  
 $I(n, 0), I(n, 1), \dots, I(n, n - 1)$

- symmetric (Strehl, 1981)
- unimodal (Dukes (2006) and Guo & Zeng (2006))
- not log-concave (which would have implied unimodal) (Barnabei, Bonetti & Silimbani (2009))

## Descents in Permutation Matrices

**Example:** Let  $n = 5$  and let  $\pi = (2, 4, 1, 5, 3)$  with two descents: 4, 1 and 5, 3. The corresponding permutation matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} & 1 & & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ 1 & & & & \\ & & & & 1 \\ & & 1 & & \end{bmatrix}$$

A descent in terms of the corresponding permutation matrix means that the 1 in some row  $i + 1$  is in an earlier column than the 1 in row  $i$ .

An involution of order  $n$  corresponds to an  $n \times n$  symmetric permutation matrix. Thus  $I(n, k)$  counts the number of  $n \times n$  symmetric permutation matrices with  $k$  descents.

# Theorem on the Involution Polynomial $I_n(t)$

Recall:

$$I_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} I(n, k)t^k,$$

where  $I(n, k)$  is the number of involutions of order  $n$  with  $k$  descents.

Let  $\mathfrak{T}(n, i)$  be the set of  $i \times i$  **symmetric** matrices with **nonnegative integral entries** with **no zero rows or columns** and **sum of entries equal to  $n$** , and let

$$T(n, i) = |\mathfrak{T}(n, i)|.$$

**Theorem:**  $I_n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n T(n, i)t^{i-1}(1-t)^{n-i}$ .

# Theorem on the Involution Polynomial $I_n(t)$

Recall:

$$I_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} I(n, k)t^k,$$

where  $I(n, k)$  is the number of involutions of order  $n$  with  $k$  descents.

Let  $\mathfrak{T}(n, i)$  be the set of  $i \times i$  **symmetric** matrices with **nonnegative integral entries** with **no zero rows or columns** and **sum of entries equal to  $n$** , and let

$$T(n, i) = |\mathfrak{T}(n, i)|.$$

**Theorem:**  $I_n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n T(n, i)t^{i-1}(1-t)^{n-i}$ .

# Theorem on the Involution Polynomial $I_n(t)$

Recall:

$$I_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} I(n, k)t^k,$$

where  $I(n, k)$  is the number of involutions of order  $n$  with  $k$  descents.

Let  $\mathfrak{T}(n, i)$  be the set of  $i \times i$  **symmetric** matrices with **nonnegative integral entries** with **no zero rows or columns** and **sum of entries equal to  $n$** , and let

$$T(n, i) = |\mathfrak{T}(n, i)|.$$

**Theorem:**  $I_n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n T(n, i)t^{i-1}(1-t)^{n-i}$ .



# Theorem on the Involution Polynomial $I_n(t)$

$$I_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} I(n, k)t^k = \sum_{i=1}^n T(n, i)t^{i-1}(1-t)^{n-i}$$

Making the substitution,  $t = \frac{x}{1+x}$ , this is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} I(n, k)x^{k+1}(1+x)^{n-1-k} = \sum_{i=1}^n T(n, i)x^i,$$

and solving for  $T(n, i)$ , ...

# Theorem on the Involution Polynomial $I_n(t)$

$$I_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} I(n, k)t^k = \sum_{i=1}^n T(n, i)t^{i-1}(1-t)^{n-i}$$

Making the substitution,  $t = \frac{x}{1+x}$ , this is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} I(n, k)x^{k+1}(1+x)^{n-1-k} = \sum_{i=1}^n T(n, i)x^i,$$

and solving for  $T(n, i)$ , ...

## Equivalent Formulation, solving for $T(n, i)$

$$T(n, i) = \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} l(n, k) \binom{n-1-k}{i-1-k} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

A permutation with  $k$  descents has  $(n-1-k)$  **ascents**, and a little manipulation shows that this equation is equivalent to

$$T(n, i) = \sum_{j=n-i}^{n-1} l'(n, j) \binom{j}{n-i} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

where  $l'(n, j) = |\mathcal{I}'(n, j)|$ , that is, the cardinality of the set  $\mathcal{I}'(n, j)$  of involutions of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  with  $j$  ascents.

## Equivalent Formulation, solving for $T(n, i)$

$$T(n, i) = \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} l(n, k) \binom{n-1-k}{i-1-k} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

A permutation with  $k$  descents has  $(n-1-k)$  **ascents**, and a little manipulation shows that this equation is equivalent to

$$T(n, i) = \sum_{j=n-i}^{n-1} l'(n, j) \binom{j}{n-i} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

where  $l'(n, j) = |\mathcal{I}'(n, j)|$ , that is, the cardinality of the set  $\mathcal{I}'(n, j)$  of involutions of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  with  $j$  ascents.

# The Identity

$$T(n, i) = \sum_{j=n-i}^{n-1} I'(n, j) \binom{j}{n-i} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

where  $I'(n, j)$  is the number of  $n \times n$  **symmetric permutation matrices with  $j$  ascents**, and  $T(n, i)$  is the number of  $i \times i$  **symmetric matrices with nonnegative integral entries with no zero rows or columns and sum of entries equal to  $n$** .

This suggests that there may be a nice mapping  $F_{n-i}$  from the set  $\cup_{j \geq n-i} I'(n, j)$  (all the  $n \times n$  symmetric permutation matrices  $P$  with  $j \geq n-i$  ascents) to subsets of  $T(n, i)$ , such that

$$|F_{n-i}(P)| = \binom{j}{n-i}, \text{ and}$$

$\{F_{n-i}(P) : P \in \cup_{j \geq n-i} I'(n, j)\}$  is a partition of  $T(n, i)$ .

# The Identity

$$T(n, i) = \sum_{j=n-i}^{n-1} I'(n, j) \binom{j}{n-i} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

where  $I'(n, j)$  is the number of  $n \times n$  **symmetric permutation matrices with  $j$  ascents**, and  $T(n, i)$  is the number of  $i \times i$  **symmetric matrices with nonnegative integral entries with no zero rows or columns and sum of entries equal to  $n$** .

This suggests that there may be a nice mapping  $F_{n-i}$  from the set  $\cup_{j \geq n-i} \mathcal{I}'(n, j)$  (all the  $n \times n$  symmetric permutation matrices  $P$  with  $j \geq n-i$  ascents) to subsets of  $\mathcal{T}(n, i)$ , such that

$$|F_{n-i}(P)| = \binom{j}{n-i}, \text{ and}$$

$\{F_{n-i}(P) : P \in \cup_{j \geq n-i} \mathcal{I}'(n, j)\}$  is a partition of  $\mathcal{T}(n, i)$ .

## The Mapping $F_{n-i} : \cup_{j \geq n-i} \mathcal{I}'(n, j) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T}(n, i))$

- (i) Let  $P$  be an  $n \times n$  symmetric perm. matrix with  $j \geq n - i$  ascents.
- (ii) Let the ascents occur in the pairs of row indices of  $P$  given by
 
$$\{p_1, p_1+1\}, \{p_2, p_2+1\}, \dots, \{p_j, p_j+1\} \quad (1 \leq p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_j < n).$$
- (iii) Choose a set  $X$  of  $(n - i)$  of these pairs.
- (iv) The chosen pairs determine an ordered partition  $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_i$  of  $[n]$  into maximal sets of consecutive integers: if
 
$$U_k = \{m, m+1, m+2, \dots, q-1, q\} \quad (m \text{ and } q \text{ depend on } k),$$
 then with  $U_k^* = \{\{m, m+1\}, \{m+1, m+2\}, \dots, \{q-1, q\}\} \subseteq X$  we have  $U_1^* \cup U_2^* \cup \dots \cup U_i^* = X$  and  $U_k^* \cap U_l^* = \emptyset$  for  $k \neq l$ .
- (v) The partition in (iv) determines a partition of the rows and columns of  $P$  into an  $i \times i$  block matrix  $[P_{rs}]$ . Let  $A = [a_{rs}]$  be the  $i \times i$  matrix where  $a_{rs}$  equals the sum of the entries of  $P_{rs}$ .
- (vi) Then  $A$  is an  $i \times i$  symmetric, nonnegative integral matrices without any zero rows and columns, the sum of whose entries equals  $n$ .

Since  $P$  has  $j \geq n - i$  ascents,  $P$  gives  $\binom{j}{n-i}$  such matrices  $A$ . 

## Example of $F_{n-i} : \cup_{j \geq n-i} \mathcal{I}'(n, j) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T}(n, i))$

**Example:** Let  $n = 8$  and consider the involution  $5, 7, 8, 6, 1, 4, 2, 3$  with corresponding symmetric permutation matrix  $P$ . The ascents occur in the pairs of positions (row indices)  $\{1, 2\}$ ,  $\{2, 3\}$ ,  $\{5, 6\}$ , and  $\{7, 8\}$ . Choosing the pairs  $\{1, 2\}$ ,  $\{2, 3\}$ , and  $\{7, 8\}$ , we obtain the partition  $U_1 = \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $U_2 = \{4\}$ ,  $U_3 = \{5\}$ ,  $U_4 = \{6\}$ ,  $U_5 = \{7, 8\}$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$  and corresponding partition of  $P$  given by

$$\left[ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & & 1 & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & 1 \\ \hline & & & & & & & 1 \\ \hline & & & & & & 1 & \\ \hline 1 & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & 1 & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & \\ \hline & 1 & & & & & & \\ \hline & & 1 & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array} \right].$$



## Inverting $F_{n-i}$

$A$ : an  $i \times i$  symmetric, nonnegative integral matrix with no zero rows and columns where the sum of the entries equals  $n$ .

$r_k$ : the sum of the entries in row (and column)  $k$  of  $A$ .

If  $A$  is to result from an  $n \times n$  symmetric permutation matrix  $P$  as described, then, since  $P$  has exactly one 1 in each row and column, it must use the partition of the row and column indices of  $P$  into the sets:

$$U_1 = \{1, \dots, r_1\}, U_2 = \{r_1+1, \dots, r_1+r_2\}, \dots, U_i = \{r_1+r_2+\dots+r_{i-1}+1, n\}.$$

There must be a string of  $(r_k - 1)$  consecutive ascents corresponding to the positions in each  $U_k$ , where there are ascents or descents in the position pairs:

$$(r_1, r_1+1), (r_1+r_2, r_1+r_2+1), \dots, (r_1+r_2+\dots+r_{i-1}, r_1+r_2+\dots+r_{i-1}+1).$$

Then it can be shown that there is exactly one involution (symmetric permutation matrix) with these restrictions.

## Inverting $F_{n-i}$ , an Example

**Example:**  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  Then  $n = 11$ , and  $r_1 = 2, r_2 = 6, r_3 = 3$ . We

seek an  $11 \times 11$  symmetric permutation matrix of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & & & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

with one ascent in rows 1 and 2, five ascents in rows 3 to 8, and two ascents in rows 9,10,11. It is easy to see that the only possibility is:

# Inverting $F_{n-i}$ , an Example ( $n = 11, r_1 = 2, r_2 = 6, r_3 = 3$ )

0	0	1						0	0	0		
0	0		1					0	0	0		
1												
	1											
			1									
							1					
								1				
									1			
0		0				1		0		0	0	
0		0					1	0		0	0	
0		0						1	0		0	0

equivalently, the involution

$$3, 4; 1, 2, 5, 9, 10, 11; 6, 7, 8.$$

Notice that the pairs of positions which could be either ascents or descents, namely  $\{2, 3\}$  and  $\{8, 9\}$ , are both descents in this case.

# Reference

Enumeration of Involutions by Descents and Symmetric Matrices. RAB and Shi-Mei Ma, *European Journal of Combinatorics*, to appear.

**Belated Happy 90th Birthday, May 30**

